United States Environmental Protection Agency

Region 5

Air and Radiation Division 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604-3590

DATE: JAN 1 2 2011

SUBJECT: Announced Inspection of ConocoPhillips Wood River Refinery

Roxana, Illinois

FROM: Virginia Palmer, Environmental Engineer W

Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Section (MN/OH)

THRU: William MacDowell, Chief

Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Section (MN/OH)

TO: File

Facility: ConocoPhillips Wood River Refinery

Location: 900 South Central Avenue

Roxana, Illinois

Inspection Date: October 25 - 29, 2010

Inspection Team: Virginia Palmer, U.S. EPA Region 5

Constantinos Loukeris, U.S. EPA Region 5

Molly DeSalle, U.S. EPA Region 5 Jamie Iatropulos, U.S. EPA Region 5

Facility Attendees: Mike Bechtol, Director of Environmental

Brian Wulf, Environmental Engineer

Gordon Terhune, Environmental Engineering Air Engineer Gina Nicholson, Health, Safety & Environmental Manager

Herman Seedorf, Refinery Manager Julian Stoll, Operations Manager

Overview of Company:

ConocoPhillips' Wood River Refinery (COPC WRR) is one of many global businesses that make up ConocoPhillips Company. COPC is headquartered in Houston, Texas and has locations all over the world. COPC WRR has been in operation as a petroleum refinery since its

construction in 1917. Tosco bought the refinery in 2000. Phillips acquired Tosco in 2001, and Phillips became ConocoPhillips in 2002. COPC WRR acquired the Distilling West units in 2004. In 2007, half of the refinery as sold to the Canadian Company Cenovus.

COPC WRR operates 24 hours a day for 7 days a week.

Arrival to Facility and Opening Conference:

Prior to arrival at the facility, Constantinos Loukeris and Virginia Palmer contacted Mike Bechtol of COPC WRR on October 22, 2010 to announce that an inspection was to take place the week of October 25, 2010. Mr. Loukeris stated that the primary focus of the inspection was Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) as it applies to the refinery and that 3 Toxic Vapor Analyzer (TVA) 1000Bs would be brought on-site by EPA to conduct EPA Reference Method 21. Mr. Loukeris highlighted the need for the LDAR contractor to be available to confirm any leaks identified by EPA during the inspection. He also asked that a copy of the LDAR database be prepared for EPA to take at the end of the inspection.

Virginia Palmer, Constantinos Loukeris, Molly DeSalle and Jamie Iatropolus ('we') of EPA Region 5 arrived at the facility at approximately 1:30 pm on October 25, 2010. After presenting our credentials to security we met Mike Bechtol, the Director for Environmental, and Brian Wulf, an Environmental Engineer for COPC WRR. After we received our visitor passes, Mr. Bechtol and Mr. Wulf escorted us to a conference room for the opening conference.

Before the opening conference we watched a safety video. After the video we were joined by Gordon Terhune, and Environmental Engineering Air Engineer, Gina Nicholson, the Health, Safety & Environmental Manager, Herman Seedorf, the Refinery Manager, and Julian Stoll, the Operations Manager. We stated that the focus of our inspection would be the processes subject to the Consent Decree entered January 27, 2005. The provisions of Consent Decree apply to pumps and valves. We told them that if we asked about anything that they considered Confidential Business Information, they should let us know and we would treat it as such. Mr. Wulf told us that Summit was the LDAR contractor. Mr. Stoll told us that the benzene extraction unit was getting ready for a turnaround and that it would be shutting down on Friday, October 29th, along with Boiler 17.

Process Overview:

Mr. Seedorf provided an overview of the operations at the refinery. They have 2 Fluid Catalytic Cracking Units (FCCUs), 2 cyclic reformers (one of which is shut down), 2 distilling units, one alkylation unit (which uses sulfuric acid), an aromatics unit, a benzene extraction unit, and a saturated gas plant. They also have several hydrotreaters as part of other units. There are packaging and blending operations on-site but Shell still owns them. Valero still owns the land that the Distilling West part of the refinery is on, although COPC owns and operates the equipment.

There are three coke drums at the Distilling West operation that were built around 1973 or 1975.

Three flares at the plant have a Flare Gas Recovery System (FGRS): the Distilling Flare, the North Property Flare and the Aromatics South Flare. The Aromatics North and Distilling West Flares will each get FGRSs as part of the Consent Decree. The Alkylation Flare won't get a FGRS because it has a low volume and receives sweet gas. The Low Sulfur Gasoline Flare will be converted to emergency-only, and all routine gases currently sent to the Low Sulfur Gasoline Flare will be routed to the North Property Flare, so it will not have a FGRS. The other flares at the refinery that do not have FGRSs are the VOC Flare, the Benzene Marine Vapor TO, the Hydrogen Plant Flare and the Air-Assisted Flare.

The refinery is currently undergoing an expansion project that was permitted by IEPA. They are adding a new coker by the north tank farms, a new vacuum unit, a new naphtha hydrotreater, a new hydrogen plant, a new diesel pressure hydrotreater, and they are doubling the capacity of their sulfur trains. The current capacity of the refinery as listed in the Oil and Gas Journal is 306,000 BPD. They currently run about 20% Canadian crude but after the project they project that they will be able to run about 60% Canadian crude. They built a new pipeline, the Keystone pipeline, to get Canadian crude.

LDAR Monitoring Records and Procedures:

After the process overview we turned the conversation to the LDAR program. We reviewed a printout of the most recent leak rate data (see Attachment 1). COPC WRR has 8 contractors. Five are dedicated monitoring technicians, one is a tagging/inventory specialist, one is a dedicated repair technician and one is a field coordinator. We asked about the most recent monitoring activity and they said that they don't do one unit all at once, but rather they space out monitoring across several units for maintenance reasons. However, they said they'd find out which unit had been monitored within the last week. They said that the only unit that is covered under the HON is the Benzene Extraction Unit.

Closeout for October 25, 2010:

After reviewing the quarterly leak rate data, we informed the facility personnel that we would monitor CR-1 on October 26, 2010. We ended the first day around 4:15 pm.

LDAR Monitoring:

Attachment 2 contains the monitoring results from each day and each unit.

LDAR Monitoring: October 26, 2010:

We started the day by calibrating our TVA-1000Bs at the COPC WRR facility. The calibration is performed at the following concentrations: a zero gas, 500 ppm, 2,000 ppm, and 10,000 ppm. Attachment 3 shows the results of the calibration. Monitoring on this

day took place only in CR-1. Tables A and B identify the leaks over 500 ppm and over 200 ppm identified during the EPA Method 21 monitoring.

Table A. Leakers Over 500 ppm Identified on October 26, 2010

Component ID	Component Type	U.S. EPA TVA Reading (ppm)	Summit TVA Reading (ppm)	Notes
38576	Valve	808		Component placed on DOR on 11/17/09
28336	Valve	4,520	14,800	Component placed on DOR on11/21/05
28355	Valve	2,300	9,990	Component placed on DOR on 6/1/10
05935	Valve	620	596	533 ppm after initial repair attempt
06098	Valve	770	924	929 ppm after initial repair attempt
214904	Valve	600	635	834 ppm after initial repair attempt
28403	Valve	4,627	1,688	Repair attempt not made because technician could not reach component properly
28781	Valve	615	1,883	
5538	Valve	440	760	433 after initial repair attempt
5509	Valve	881	800	
212239	Valve	737	798	
28123	Valve	410	537	Tagged on 3/11/10 as leaking at 510 ppm
6415	Valve	956	1,430	Tagged on 9/20/10 as leaking
28232	Valve	536	735	
28233	Valve	1,035	4,061	Tagged on 9/14/09 as leaking
28224	Valve	592	749	Tagged on 9/22/10 as leaking
6436	Valve	793	1,000	

6460 Valve 982 2,081 6436 Valve 560 530 6472 Valve 1,320 1,381 5091 Valve 1,680 3,345 28245 Valve 6,800 8,000

Table B. Leakers Over 200 ppm Identified on October 26, 2010

Component ID	Component Type	U.S. EPA TVA Reading (ppm)	Summit TVA Reading (ppm)	Notes
38568	Valve	245	66	EPA could not repeat reading over 200 ppm
06257	Valve	315	254	292 ppm after initial repair attempt
29197	Valve	258	400	606 ppm after initial repair attempt; 585 after second repair attempt
28274	Valve	247	329	26 ppm after initial repair attempt
05860	Valve	203	134	
05938	Valve	252	225	165 ppm after initial repair attempt
28521	Valve	390	275	285 ppm after initial repair attempt
06084	Valve	203	220	
5504	Valve	215	244	
5613	Valve	420	480	405 ppm after initial repair attempt
5507	Valve	440	410	
28136	Valve	431		9/27/10 marked as leaking at 400 ppm
6623	Valve	210	250	Tagged 10/20/10 as a leaker

During the monitoring, we observed that some of the valves were insulated. EPA Method 21 specifically states that monitoring is to be done by placing the probe inlet at the surface of the component interface where leakage could occur. The insulation on the

valves made it impossible to perform Method 21 as required. We counted 12 valves that were insulated in such a way. See Attachment 4 for a table that lists the tag numbers of all the insulated valves found during the inspection.

During the monitoring, we also observed a connector joint below valve 5717 that had a visual drip. When the TVA was used on the connector joint, EPA got a reading of 10,200 ppm. Summit confirmed this finding with a rate of 13,400 ppm.

We also observed a connector with tag number 5543 which EPA found had a leak rate of 410 pmm. Summit confirmed this finding with a leak rate of 627 ppm.

We also found two conservation vents (C.V.) which were leaking above 10,000 ppm off the pump. The following rates were observed:

C.V.	EPA leak rate from C.V. seal (ppm)	Summit leak rate from C.V. seal (ppm)	EPA leak rate from vacuum breaker inlet (ppm)	Summit leak rate from vacuum breaker inlet (ppm)
CH-354	17,000	46,100	2,000	1,800
CH-355	12,000	14,500	1,730	1,500

The facility representatives told us that the vents hold compressor seal oil and that the vents aren't monitored as part of the LDAR program.

We finished monitoring around 4:40 pm. We had a close-out conference with the facility representatives where we confirmed the valves that we found leaking over 500 ppm. We also brought up our concern about the insulated valves and that we believed Method 21 was not being performed on them, and our concerns about the conservation vents. In response to the conservation vents, the facility representatives told us that they follow the requirements for tanks that hold less than 20,000 gallons under 40. C.F.R. § 61.343(b). We stated that monitoring on October 27, 2010 would be in the Butane Unit alone.

LDAR Monitoring: October 27, 2010:

Attachment 3 shows the results of the calibration for the day. Monitoring on this day took place in the Butane Unit and the Alkylation Unit. Tables C, D and E identify the leaks over 500 ppm and over 200 ppm identified during the EPA Method 21 monitoring.

Table C. Leakers Over 500 ppm at the Butane Unit Identified on October 27, 2010

Component ID	Component Type	U.S. EPA TVA Reading (ppm)	Summit TVA Reading (ppm)	Notes
34876	Valve	553	392	141 after initial repair attempt

34896	Valve	1,139		3/17/10 Tag said leaking at 589 ppm
209332	Valve	2,974	10,000+	Tagged on 9/17/10 as leaking at 992 ppm
209331	Valve	348	1,000	
28627	Valve	845	710	
28653	Valve	584	625	
28640	Valve	710	814	3/18/10 tag said leaking at 724
29591	Valve	1,275	10,000+	4/19/10 Tag said leaking at 5181 ppm
29593	Valve	740	996	6/26/10 tag said leaking at 750 ppm
29562	Valve	640	925	
30827	Valve	505	860	10/15/10 tag said leaking at 1000 ppm
29558	Valve	706	955	4/19/10 tag said leaking at 965 ppm
29580	Valve	3,413	11,000	7/29/10 tag said leaking at 2164 ppm
24305	Valve	894	623	241 ppm after initial repair attempt
23896	Valve	1,113	971	
23882	Valve	730	1,000	
23886	Valve	1,480	2,608	
14881	Valve	720	702	
217405	Valve	680	783	10/8/10 tag said leaking; Repaired 10/11/10
217464	Valve	830	845	
29903	Valve	733	625	9/7/10 said leaking
29916	Valve	17,000	81,500	DOR
51991	Valve	1,161	2,059	
29958	Valve	12,100	64,800	

24118	Valve	720	999	8/19/10 tag said leaking; Repaired 8/20/10;
209019	Valve	10,400	11,400	10/20/10 tag said leaking; Repaired 10/22/10
24256	Valve	11,100	12,700	10/15/10 tag said leaking
23936	Valve	670	841	
24143	Valve	1,289	1,980	
23938	Valve	17,000	6,900	DOR
23979	Valve	12,500	17,000	DOR

Table D. Leakers Over 200 ppm at the Butane Unit Identified on October 27, 2010

Component ID	Component Type	U.S. EPA TVA Reading (ppm)	Summit TVA Reading (ppm)	Notes
209264	Valve	205	186	
34897	Valve	220	250	
210096	Valve	250	243	293 after initial repair attempt
29578	Valve	270	267	
209249	Valve	308	410	10/8/10 tag said leaking at 514 ppm
24150	Valve	241	220	

Table E. Leakers Over 500 ppm at the Alky Unit Identified on October 28, 2010

Component ID	Component Type	U.S. EPA TVA Reading (ppm)	Summit TVA Reading (ppm)	Notes
33559	Valve	1,022	4,112	

We observed 11 insulated valves. See Attachment 4 for a table that lists the tag numbers of all the insulated valves found during the inspection.

During the monitoring, we observed an elbow under valve 24113 that EPA found had a leak rate of 965 ppm. Summit did not attempt to confirm this finding.

We finished monitoring around 3:05 pm. We had a close-out conference with the facility

representatives where we confirmed the valves that we found leaking over 500 ppm. We stated that monitoring on October 28, 2010 would be in the Alkylation Unit.

LDAR Monitoring: October 28, 2010:

Attachment 3 shows the results of the calibration for the day. Monitoring on this day took place only in the Alkylation Unit. Tables F and G identify the leaks over 500 ppm and over 200 ppm identified during the EPA Method 21 monitoring.

Table F. Leakers Over 500 ppm Identified on October 28, 2010

Component ID	Component Type	U.S. EPA TVA Reading (ppm)	Summit TVA Reading (ppm)	Notes
107581	Valve	10,000+	22,573	
33646	Valve	12.96%	10,000+	
33642	Valve	10,000+	. 6,758	TVA flamed out over 10,000
33640	Valve	10,000	3,000	TVA flamed out over 10,000
20077	Valve	702	2,245	
20316	Valve	998	1,300	
20331	Valve	20,000	39,200	
20330	Valve	580	381	275 after initial repair attempt
33559	Valve	1,022	4,112	
No tag- needle valve on seal trap vent line on top of sight glass	Valve	10,100		
27504	Valve	1,130	2,195	
25294	Valve	700	1552	4/22/10 tag said leaking
33221	Valve	1,700	2,135	
20046	Valve	5,200	3,078	
48974	Valve	417	642	
33838	Valve	2,200	4,716	9/7/10 tag said leaking; 9/8/10 was repaired
46241	Valve	540		Tagged 10/18/10 and was still an open ticket
36001	Valve	690	899	DOR

36005	Valve	3,120	7,000	
36010	Valve	610	733	

Table G. Leakers Over 200 ppm Identified on October 28, 2010

Component ID	Component Type	U.S. EPA TVA Reading (ppm)	Summit TVA Reading (ppm)	Notes
20084	Connector	306	400	
20081	Valve	241	244	
20305	Valve	357	394	
33942	Valve	267	308	

At approximately 2:15 pm we were evacuated from the process area due to upset conditions at another unit. All non-essential personnel were evacuated from the process area.

We found 15 insulated valves. See Attachment 4 for a table that lists the tag numbers of all the insulated valves found during the inspection.

We returned to the conference room. We were informed that there had been a power dip which had caused some of the boilers at the refinery to shut down. We reviewed the LDAR training documentation as well as the LDAR audit reports. We left for the day at approximately 4:30 pm.

EPA Monitoring Summary for October 26-28, 2010:

Table F: Monitoring Summary

Unit	Number of Components Monitored	Number of Leaks Over 500 ppm Identified	Leak Rate (%)
CR-1	668	22	3.29
Butane	514	31	6.03
Alky	451	21	4.67

Table F: Insulated Valves Identified

Unit	Number of Components Monitored	Number of Insulated Valves	Percentage of Insulated Valves (%)
CR-1	668	12	1.8

Butane	514	11	2.1
Alky	451	15	3.3

Closing Conference: Day 5 – October 29, 2010:

We arrived at the facility at approximately 8:30 am for the closing conference. During the conference we pointed out some areas of concern that we found during the inspection, including the insulated valves, the conservation vents, 5 components in the Alkylation Unit that were not in the database, items tagged in the field that were not in service, and the leak rate identified found during the monitoring done by EPA versus the historical leak rate for the Alkylation Unit.

We reviewed the overall leak rates from each unit and the number of insulated valves found at each unit with the facility personnel. We stated that no compliance determinations are done on-site; the records we retrieved, along with any additional records we may request through a Section 114 letter, will be reviewed to determine compliance status. We left the facility at 9:00 am.

See Attachment 3 for a listing of each component monitored by EPA during the inspection.

Attachments:

- 1. Leak Rate Data
- 2. Components Monitored
- 3. Calibration Data
- 4. Insulated Valves